IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE EASTERN DIVISION

FILED BY D.C.

05 SEP 15 PM 2: 53

DOROTHY STEELE,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) NO. 1-05-2480-T/A
ESTATE OF THOMAS DICKERSON, II, as Employer/Agent Greyhound Lines, Inc., and GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,	, ,) ,)
and ALEX YU CHANG, as Employee/ Agent of ARC International Corporation d/b/a/ Trans USA Corporation, and) 1))
USA CORPORATION, and ARC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,)
Defendants.)

ORDER DENYING CONSENT ORDER ALLOWING ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF TO AMEND COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO RULE 15

Before the Court is a Consent Order Allowing Attorney for Plaintiff to Amend
Complaint Pursuant to Rule 15 filed on September 8, 2005. It was unaccompanied either by a
Motion or a memorandum of facts and law setting forth the basis for the request. For the
reasons set forth below, the Consent Order is **DENIED**.

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require that "an application to the court for an order shall be by motion which ... shall be made in writing, shall state with particularity the grounds therefor, and shall set forth the relief or order sought." Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b). Additionally, the Local Rules for the Western District of Tennessee require that all motions filed in the Court shall

¹The Consent Order states that the original Complaint is attached as Exhibit A and that the proposed Amended Complaint is attached as Exhibit B. The original Complaint, however, is marked as Exhibit 1 and the proposed Amended Complaint is not marked at all.

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and 79(a) FRCP on

be "accompanied by a supporting memorandum of facts and law." Local Rule 7.2(a)(1) for the Western District of Tennessee.

Because Plaintiff did not file a Motion or a supporting memorandum of facts and law, the Consent Order is **DENIED** without prejudice. Plaintiff may file a motion which complies with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and with Local Rules of Court if he so desires.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S. THOMAS ANDERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Date: September 13, 2005

²Counsel for Plaintiff does not need to attach a copy of the original Complaint to the Motion to Amend but should attach a copy of the proposed Amended Complaint.



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 17 in case 2:05-CV-02480 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on September 16, 2005 to the parties listed.

Jimmy Moore CIRCUIT COURT, 30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 140 Adams Ave. Rm. 224 Memphis, TN 38103

Carl Wyatt
GLASSMAN EDWARDS WADE & WYATT, P.C.
26 N. Second Street
Memphis, TN 38103

Edwin E. Wallis MOSS BENTON & WALLIS, PLLC 325 N. Parkway P.O. Box 3897 Jackson, TN 38303--389

Robert L Scull
The Law Offices of Peter A. Miller
1601 S. Broadway Street
Little Rock, AR 72206

Mark N. Geller NAHON SAHAROVICH & TROTZ, PLC 488 S. Mendenhall Memphis, TN 38117

A. Scott Derrick
GULLETT SANFORD ROBINSON & MARTIN
315 Deadrick St.
Ste. 1100
Nashville, TN 37219--888

Christopher W. Cardwell GULLETT SANFORD ROBINSON & MARTIN 315 Deadrick St. Ste. 1100 Nashville, TN 37219--888 Honorable James Todd US DISTRICT COURT